

Development Impact Fees

Theory

- Development impact fees are based on the theory that new development should pay for the increase in infrastructure needed for a City/Town to maintain its current level of service. Current residents should not pay for all the services they would not need if new growth were to not occur.

Simple Example

- A city of 10,000 people has one police station and one fire station. They are sized to service the current population.
- The City expects to double in size in the next 10 years due to economic events in the area
- To maintain the City's current service level, one additional police station and one additional fire station will be needed to service the new 10,000 people.
- New homes are charged a development impact fee to pay for the new police and fire stations.

History

- City adopted Development Fees in March 2006
- Developers asked for a 25% reduction for Police, Fire and Infrastructure fees, with the reduction being made up by a 0.7% extra Construction Transaction Tax levy (2.45% construction, 1.75% retail)
- Council approved a moratorium on fees for the period of 7/1/2017 thru 6/30/2020. Current fees, with the 25% offset, go back into effect on 7/1/2020
- Original fees:

<u>Classification</u>	<u>100% Fees</u>	<u>w/ offset</u>
Parks	\$ 1,804	\$ 1,804
Library	\$ 553	\$ 553
Police	\$ 615	\$ 461
Fire	\$ 529	\$ 396
Infrastructure	\$ 1,853	\$ 1,390
Total Single Family	\$ 5,354	\$ 4,604

Environmental changes since adoption

- City tax rate increased from 1.75% to 1.95%, so offset differential has decreased from 0.7% to 0.5%
- Average new house price has increased from \$225,000 to \$325,000
- Tax code has changed, so repairs and remodels are no longer subject to Construction Transaction Privilege Tax. Now materials are taxable under the retail classification and labor is not taxable
- The exact estimated differential tax amount must be offset against the fee at a per house level, aggregate estimates no longer allowed.

Recommendations

- Remove 25% offset and reduce Construction Privilege Taxes to 1.95%
- Proposed new fee schedule, with comparison to original fees, original fees adjusted by construction cost index and current fees.

<u>Classification</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2006 in 2019 dollars</u>	<u>Current (100%)</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Parks	\$ 1,804	\$ 2,434	\$ 624	\$ 3,353
Library	\$ 553	\$ 746	\$ -	\$ -
Police	\$ 615	\$ 830	\$ 479	\$ 529
Fire	\$ 529	\$ 714	\$ 351	\$ 745
Infrastructure	<u>\$ 1,853</u>	<u>\$ 2,500</u>	<u>\$ 2,641</u>	<u>\$ 746</u>
Total Single Family	\$ 5,354	\$ 7,223	\$ 4,095	\$ 5,373

Fees to pay for

- The fees were calculated to cover the following items over the next 10 years:
 - Parks – Repay \$3,100,000 of the fund deficit
 - Police – Repay the \$917,421 fund deficit
 - Fire – Repay the \$1,334,188 fund deficit
 - Infrastructure – Construct ½ lane mile of arterial or collector streets
- Negative fund balances are due to construction of development fee projects listed in the 2006 study. Current construction is buying-into the capacity of the facilities since actual housing construction was below development fee estimates. The funds would not have deficits if actual construction was close to the study's estimates.