



Sierra Vista City Council  
Work Session Minutes  
June 16, 2020

1. Call to Order

Mayor Mueller called the June 16, 2020 City Council Work Session to order at 3:00 p.m., Council Chambers, City Hall, 1011 N. Coronado Drive, Sierra Vista, Arizona.

Mayor Rick Mueller – present  
Mayor Pro Tem Rachel Gray – present  
Council Member William Benning – present  
Council Member Gwen Calhoun – present  
Council Member Sarah Pacheco – present  
Council Member Carolyn Umphrey - present  
Council Member Kristine Wolfe – present

Others Present:

Chuck Potucek, City Manager  
Victoria Yarbrough, Assistant City Manager  
Adam Thrasher, Police Chief  
Brian Jones, Fire Chief  
Sharon Flissar, Public Works Director  
Laura Wilson, Leisure and Library Services Director  
Matt McLachlan, Community Development Director  
David Felix, Finance Chief Officer  
Barbara Fleming, Human Resources Chief Officer  
Jennifer Osburn, Interim Budget Officer  
Jill Adams, City Clerk  
Tony Boone, Economic Development Manager  
Adam Curtis, PIO

2. Discussion regarding [FY 2020-2021 Budget](#)

Mr. Potucek stated that he and staff are pleased to present the balanced FY 20-21 budget for the City of Sierra Vista that does not contain any use of reserves or a tax increase in it. Due to the Coronavirus situation, it has been a challenging budget primarily in terms of the gyrations of the economy, but thanks to the good folks of Sierra Vista, business community, Fort Huachuca and the employment base that provides to the City, the City is in a much better stand than a lot of other communities. The \$4.9 Million from the CARES Act also provides a lot of assistance as well. He further stated that even before the pandemic, budget work sessions had already started, which continued along with individual meetings with Council Members; therefore, everyone is prepared for the budget. Lastly, he thanked Ms. Yarbrough, Project Manager and lead for the team, Ms. Osburn, Mr. Felix and all the department heads for their help/cooperation.

Ms. Yarbrough provided a recap of the work sessions regarding the budget. The changes to-date for non-Arizona CARES Act funding:

- Refuse Fund
  - o Removed pick-up vehicle replacement (\$35,000) that changes the financing to \$31,500 and the 10 percent carryover \$3,500.
- Sewer Fund
  - o Added Schneider electric projects carryover, \$475,000 because one belongs in the Sewer Fund that increased financing (\$427,500) and carryover (\$47,500).
- General Fund
  - o Add carryover project \$150,000, the City Hall remodeling that is starting any day and will carry over into July to be finished in August at the latest.
  - o Add SVMPO contribution due to the renegotiation of the host agreement, \$50,345. This change was made in anticipation of the approval of the agreement that changed the revenue, \$68,663, and income, \$4,760, due to specifics in the agreement.
  - o Increased SEACOM contribution, \$127,693, due to the network upgrade.

The City is receiving a total of \$4.9 Million in CARES Act funding, which will cross two fiscal years. For next year, the City has \$2.9 Million budgeted in the Grants Fund. The First set is to submit for police salaries reimbursements for the first of March through June 30, 2021. The revenue currently budgeted will be used in Fiscal Year 2020 for police salaries to pay cash for this current fiscal year's General Fund vehicle purchases, which will save \$119,800 in debt service each year for the next five years.

Council Member Calhoun asked why the money is being spent on the police. Ms. Yarbrough explained that the State of Arizona decided to distribute these funds to cities and counties to be used for police and fire salaries and benefits. This will then free up the money that the city or county would have budgeted for police and fire salaries and benefits, which allows the cities and counties to use those funds for other things.

Ms. Yarbrough stated that the remaining funds in Fiscal Year 2020 for police salaries to pay for other General Fund capital in capital maintenance items and eliminate the Capital Improvement Fund to General Fund transfer, \$795,268. Due to losing \$517,247 in State Shared Sales Tax Revenue and \$99,870 in State Shared Income Tax, the City staff overestimated what was projected to be received. The adjusted State Shared Revenue number is \$600,000 over and so the \$119,000 in debt service and approximately \$481,000 of the funding to make up the loss of the \$600,000 in Fiscal Year 2021 State Shared Revenue and not going into reserves to balance that.

The CARES Act funding will be used for Fiscal Year 2021 again to pay for police and fire salaries and benefits to the amount of \$2.9 Million and start cashing out some capital purchases:

- Plan to purchase the School District Rothery Center, which will save the City approximately \$120,240 per year depending on whether it is financed, five or ten years.
- Remaining Fiscal Year 21 revenues that was previously budgeted to pay public safety salaries will be used to cash out other vehicle purchases or capital improvement fund transfers, note proceeds \$1,352,800. This reduced the transfer out of the Capital Improvement Fund, the Schneider carryover and overall, the reduced carryover.

Ms. Yarbrough stated that the reduced transfer out is reduced because the debt service will not

be paid on some of the capital projects, \$795,268. The Schneider carryover was also reduced, \$475,000 because that went into the Sewer Fund. The reduced carry over is \$1,270,268.

The SEACOM budget is increasing slightly because staff did not initially know that there was sales tax on the radio network upgrade, which had to be added.

Additional MPO budget changes were made due to the updated agreement and anticipation of possible additional federal money.

Council Member Calhoun asked if everyone must increase their contributions to the SEACOM Fund. Ms. Yarbrough stated that the City and the County are. Everybody else's payments are set in contract for years so their contributions will not be increasing.

Mayor Mueller stated that the MPO has gone a process whereby in the past Sierra Vista basically carried all the local in-kind match. There is now an agreement with Huachuca City for their share to be paid and waiting for the County to pay their share. The City's match should be \$31,000 less because the City was basically paying for the operation before bringing in others.

Ms. Yarbrough informed Council that they will not receive an updated book until the final book is available. However, staff will provide the updated pages if requested.

A slide of the budget by major fund was displayed that depicted:

- General Fund increase of \$1,067,982 is because of salaries primarily due to the PSPRS increase for the year and the capital changes.
- HURF increase is due to the City receiving more money and budgeting for a potential MPO project.
- LTAF, fund used for transit, reflects savings due to the reduction of a route.
- Airport increase is primarily for the dirt removal project.
- Reduction in the Capital Improvement Fund is due to the Schneider Electric Project and the way that it was financed.
- Sewer fund increase is due to the Schneider Electric Project, moving over to that fund.
- Refuse fund increase is due to the rate increase and the purchase of two new refuse trucks.
- Increase to all other funds is due to budgeting for more grant funds and anticipation of both the CARES Act and additional possible money through future stimulus packages.

A slide of the budget by expenditure type displayed a chart that depicted increases from Fiscal Year 20 to 21:

- Personnel increased about one percent.
- Operations and Maintenance increased by two percent.
- Debt increased by one percent.
- Capital decreased by four percent.

Mayor Mueller asked if the total cost for personnel decreased by one percent even though the City is giving its employees a two percent increase. Ms. Yarbrough stated that he is correct.

A slide of the revenue summary was provided that depicted the following significant changes:

- Grants fund is where the CARES Act money were budgeted and placeholders for any other potential grants coming from future stimulus packages.
- Local government payments line increased because this is where the Fort Huachuca Contract was placed, as well as \$2.5 Million budgeted for the Fry Boulevard and North

Garden Avenue Project, the potential \$750,000 for MPO funds and the payments for SEACOM.

- Notes Payable line decreased due to the Schneider Electric Project funds.
- Miscellaneous revenues line is changes in various fund services just like the MPO funds are changing.

Council Member Benning asked if only \$2.9 Million of the CARES Act funds were budgeted. Ms. Yarbrough stated that he is correct and explained that there is an additional \$4 Million for potential federal stimulus money and other grants being pursued.

Ms. Yarbrough stated that the City finances certain capital projects, depending on a variety of actors. The financing term matches the expected life of the equipment and there are two primary forms of debt, bonds, and lease purchase.

The debt service by fund slide was displayed that depicted that the sewer fund increased by \$122,997 due to some debt refinancing and a different accounting method that Mr. Felix is applying compared to other years. The Municipal Property Corporation interest and redemption fund changed due to the Schneider Electric Project and the 2020-year end amount is \$22,796,802, which is a less substantial decrease due to making a year's worth of payments. The outstanding debt for next year stands at over \$19.5 Million.

Mr. Potucek noted that the chart is significant and pointed out that the outstanding debt in 2012 was over \$37,000,000 during the height of the recession and prior to that when the recession started it was \$44,000,000. There were great strides made in terms of debt reduction through the last decade.

Council Member Calhoun asked when the \$19.5 Million will be paid off. Mr. Potucek stated that a substantial portion of it will be paid off in January 2022, which were the existing bonds for various projects, but the City will still have the Schneider Electric Project debt that was around \$13,000,000 for the next nine years. However, the City will get electric savings to help pay the debt service.

Ms. Yarbrough gave kudos to the department heads and division managers for keeping a considerate budget. She showed Council a slide of the Operations and Maintenance for all funds indicating that overall, it has increased by \$138,100 for next year. She stated that for the most part, budgets were not reduced for next year as they were simply made to match Fiscal Year 20 actuals/budget. Major changes were in:

- City Manager Department/City Clerk professional services was increased, \$51,500 for election services.
- Administrative Services/IT office equipment maintenance, \$95,745 for software maintenance increases.

In response to Mayor Mueller, Ms. Adams stated that the \$95,745 reflects two elections; however, the City is only having one election, but the excess funds will be used for postage because postage was not budgeted. The election budget should be approximately \$26,000 to \$28,000.

Council Member Benning stated that it is a good thing that the City went with doing the signatures online and asked if the State provides the service. Ms. Adams stated that it is a free of charge service by the Office of the Secretary of State and she does not believe that it will change in the future.

Council Member Calhoun asked if the City pays the County to run the election. Ms. Adams stated that she is correct and noted that the intergovernmental agreement with the County for election services is on the June 25, 2020 agenda for Council approval.

- Police Department budget includes the cost of SEACOM under the professional services line, \$140,177.
- Fire Department decrease was due to the inclusion of a grant in their budget for last year.

Mayor Mueller asked if the grant was awarded. Fire Chief Jones stated that it has not been awarded and that the amount is a place holder.

- Public Works engineering professional services budget includes the Surface Water Master Plan, \$120,000. It was determined that this is not a capital expense, but a strategic plan item and therefore was moved under engineering professional services budget.
- Public Works Fleet fuel budget decreased \$330,000 due to the School District no longer purchasing fuel. This also correspondingly decreased the revenues by the same amount.
- Transit increased for insurance, \$90,000 and for software for ridership tracking under specialized supplies, \$25,000.

Council Member Calhoun asked about the insurance increase. Ms. Adams explained that this is standard. All private and public entities are seeing various levels of increases. She added that an increase of eight to ten percent annually is good because there are other organizations that are seeing a 20 percent increase depending on their experiences. Sierra Vita has a good loss rating, which helps keep the insurance down.

- Leisure and Library Services Operations and Maintenance did not go down by \$250,000. The most significant decrease was \$80,610 in the professional services line due to the Friends of the Library funding being moved over to grants and the removal of the part time security officer.

Ms. Yarbrough displayed a slide of a pie chart on how Operations and Maintenance funds are distributed across the departments. The largest department is Public Works, 37.2 percent of the overall budget followed by the Police Department at 15.9 percent, Fire Department at 9.7 percent and Leisure and Library Services at 8.9 percent. The remaining departments are below 10 percent.

SEACOM's total budget is \$2,633,963 with the following split out:

- Personnel, \$1,756,965
- Operations and Maintenance, \$538,311
- Capital, \$338,687 for a radio network upgrade, most of the increased expenditures.

SEACOM's revenue brought in through partners and subscribers is \$1,459,513. The total City's cost will be \$1,111,009, an increase of \$140,777 from Fiscal Year 20, and the County's total cost will be \$1,276,342.

Council Member Wolfe asked about the \$140,777 increase. Ms. Yarbrough stated that it is from the radio upgrade project.

In response to Council Member Wolfe, Ms. Yarbrough stated that this is the way that the increase was split between the City and the County. Mr. Potucek added that the actual SEACOM budget would not have increased except primarily for the Motorola upgrade. The total SEACOM budget is \$338,000, \$140,000 is the City's increased contribution.

Mr. Felix explained that since all the dispatchers are City employees, and to properly pay them, they must be employees in a regular special revenue fund. All SEACOM's expenditures are included in the budget and the revenue to the fund would be from all the subscribers, County, and the City. There is an expenditure to the General Fund and revenue to the SEACOM Fund. The \$126,000 increase is the City's increased contribution, which shows up on the revenue side. The \$338,000 in capital is the total SEACOM capital budget that all members are paying for.

A slide was displayed of the SEACOM assessments for next year depicting the numbers for Cochise County and Sierra Vista and what the assessment would be if they were assessed the same as everyone else. The agreement with the County is to get the assessments from everybody and split the cost that is left over. The new subscribers that were picked up this year were the National Park Service and Healthcare Innovations Inc.

Personnel changes for next year are the addition of two full time positions:

- One police officer, \$92,933 (salary with full burden), but not funded.
- One full time recreation leader, \$41,651 in exchange for two part time positions.

There is only one reclassification position and that is the Police Department's Records Supervisor, \$9,019.

The PSPRS contribution will increase \$538,330 and the ASRS contribution will increase to \$14,345 from Fiscal Year 20.

In response to Mayor Mueller, Ms. Yarbrough stated that the total contribution is \$5,320,794 for total police and fire. Mayor Mueller stated that the PSPRS contribution is still an issue and has been an issue since before he was mayor and it is not going to go away until the Legislature gets the lead out.

Council Member Wolfe asked if there is any hope. Mayor Mueller stated that they made some strides in reforming the system, but they did not go far enough. Mr. Potucek added that the problem is cost control and lack of ability. Mayor Mueller further stated that every time has an opportunity to talk to a new police officer or fireman, he suggests to them to opt to control their own money/plan so that they know that they are going to get it.

The annual two percent increase for staff is included in the budget and that is a total of \$340,245.

Ms. Yarbrough showed a slide on personnel costs by fund and stated that the City actually saved money in personnel costs over the past years because the two percent step increase and the PSPRS increase combined was \$878,575 and the personnel budget is only up about \$160,000. This is since some positions have been kept unfunded. Many of these positions are not unoccupied. The opportunity was taken to shift some of the Leisure and Library Services staff, who may not have had jobs with the current situation, into those positions and not fund them. The City was able to keep people working, but not fund the positions with a new full-time

person. There have been some reductions in personnel especially in LTAF, transit fund, due to reducing one route. There was also a mistake discovered in the way that some staff were budgeted for allocations and not being split appropriately between different funds, specifically the HURF, Sewer and Refuse funds, which is why there are decreases in those three funds.

Mr. Potucek stated that currently the City is operating under a targeted hiring freeze on a case by case basis, primarily for public safety. The City will probably maintain this posture throughout the year to keep the personnel cost down. This was a good thing that was done last year because it puts the City in a much better position for the next two years.

There are no real changes for capital projects for Fiscal Year 20/21. The vehicle replacements will be financed through the general fund and refuse fund. The police department vehicle replacements will be cashed out with AZ CARES money as well as the School Land Acquisition, \$1,000,000.00\$, Fire Equipment (Medical Monitors), \$150,000.00, Fire Replacement (SCBA Units), \$150,000.00, Council Chamber Upgrade, \$113,000.00, EMS Substation Design, \$100,000.00, Irrigation System Modification, \$150,000.00, Avenida Escuela Extension, \$65,000.00, Emission Control Devices for Fire Engines, \$60,000.00, Female Locker Room, \$150,000.00, City Hall Electrical, \$50,000.00, Routers Cisco Main Internet, \$40,000.00, and Routers 2901-Quantity 13, \$65,000.

There are also a few Grant projects, including CDBG, Fry North Garden Project, budgeted under HURF, annual street maintenance, additional money for the Fry North Garden Project, police equipment and vehicles, airport grant funded projects, SEACOM radio upgrade, sewer fund projects including the Schneider Electric aerator project and wave machine carryover project, Rothery Center improvements, if the City should sell King's Court, and two bus replacements at Transit.

Council Member Worth asked if there is anything in the works for selling King's Court. Ms. Yarbrough stated that there is nothing concrete, but staff is aware that there has been an increased interest.

Council Member Wolfe asked if the projects listed to be paid with cash firm because there are some projects that need to be done. Ms. Yarbrough stated that they are firm and noted that the Council Chamber equipment may be ordered if Council approves the tentative budget so that it is up and running in July.

There are two carryover projects with Schneider Electric Energy Savings Project and everything else is finished. The EOP Aerator upgrade is still in the works, the cove wave machine was delayed because the manufacturer is in New York, but it is now under construction. There is an additional 10 percent retainage amount along with construction costs being carried over. The entire amount is \$1,469,185.

Ms. Yarbrough stated that there are items that are on hold, but in the plan in looking out at the City's Capital Improvement Plan in years two through five. This answers questions that have come up with what would be done with the Rothery Center land if the City purchases it. A Parks Master Plan is underway that includes remastering Veterans' Park and improvements to the Rothery Center. These are in the plan but there is no amount for them yet because the projects are unknown until the processes are completed. There are projects for land acquisition, a vehicle shelter upgrade for the Police Department, multi-use paths, network upgrades and other items as afforded.

Council Member Calhoun asked if the Council would be looking at these capital improvements during the strategic planning and deciding on a different order. Mayor Mueller stated that the list should be reviewed every two years during the strategic planning so that new Council Members are aware of it and the priorities in the 2030 Plan. This way there can be a shared vision with the public.

Mr. Potucek stated that the list is an important list and it can change during the strategic planning process, which staff anticipates that it will. By identifying the projects, it provides an opportunity to start looking for grants to offset the costs.

Council Member Calhoun asked if there is any room in the budget for something that could come up during the year and is considered a good idea by the Council. Mayor Mueller stated that there is a midyear review. Mr. Potucek stated that the grant fund is inflated by \$4 Million without any real specific projects. One that is being looked at to fund out of that is the potential ambulance station by Tompkins Park. If indeed other projects come up on the list and staff can obtain the grant funding, then it would be brought back before Council.

The projects that were shifted in the Capital Improvements Plan for this year are:

- Library patron computer replacement because it was moved to grants and the City will work with the Friends to see if they can fund part of it.
- Fire truck that was on the schedule for \$1.2 Million, which was swapped out for two staff vehicles for the Fire Department that were planned for Fiscal Year 2022 to Fiscal Year 2021.
- EMS substation construction for \$1.4 Million. The design was budgeted but the construction was shifted a few months out to Fiscal Year 2022 as staff is pursuing a grant opportunity.

There are three CDBG Projects in the budget for next year:

- Soldier Creek Park improvements, \$175,000
- James Landwerhr Plaza improvements, \$25,000
- Eddie Cyr Park improvements, \$271,810

Future issues that will be looked at over the next year and budget years are the impacts from the pandemic that will be far reaching and largely unknown at this point, a three to four-year potential revenue impact. The City is already starting to see State shared revenues decreasing and PSPRS increases are expected to continue with little control.

Mayor Mueller stated that the City needs to look at what is legally possible to get the Legislator motivated to take care of the police and firemen. There has been little success and the City needs to start with its meetings with the three local Legislators and explain the situation to them again and they do not seem to understand the impact. The City is in good shape financially and can take a 10 percent raise every year, but other communities cannot.

Mr. Potucek stated that he is afraid that given the revenue situation statewide, it seems to indicate that a very hit for the Valley and the rest of the State. The City of Sierra Vista can tolerate if the City is conservative in budgeting practices, but many communities are going to be hurting and so is the State with revenue. He added that it brings heightened attention to PSPRS.



Mayor Mueller stated that the City needs to come up with a plan to strike it hard this time and use the League and everybody else, but it is going to be tough with the League not having their meeting this year.

Council Member Wolfe stated that if there is anything that Council can do, to let them know because the City needs to take care of the police and firemen and have a solvent city.

Ms. Yarbrough stated that there will be some police retirements over the next few years including a substantial number of the senior command staff. She further stated that street maintenance is always a priority and maintaining the classification and compensation plan year to year as well as addressing minimum wage compression, which was held off this year to see how salaries adjust across the State and if there is minimum wage increase next year by the Legislature.

Next steps on the budget process are as follows:

- Tentative Budget vote on June 25, 2020
- Final Budget vote on July 23, 2020
- Property tax vote August 13, 2020

Council Member Pacheco stated that a lot of the issues she had have been addressed by staff.

Council Member Umphrey asked if the revenue summary was updated since the individual meetings with Council? Ms. Yarbrough stated it has been.

Council Member Umphrey stated that a mistake was found on page 69 of the Tentative Budget with the sewer and refuse totals, but the corrections are not reflected. Mr. Felix stated that the mistakes were on the expenditure side. The personnel and O&M allocations were not added on the expenditure side. The revenue side is correct. The expenditure page showed \$4 Million and the salaries were \$900,000 instead of the \$1. Million that it should have been.

Council Member Umphrey asked if the \$5,345,000 in sewer revenues and the \$5,472,000 in refuse revenues are correct, but the revenue summary does not reflect the numbers being higher. Mr. Felix stated that the sewer and refuse will not be included in carryover. The carryover amount is included in the carryover line. The amounts are correct on the individual revenue fund pages.

Mr. Potucek stated that the tentative budget agenda item for Thursday, June 24, 2020 will contain a memo that outlines all the changes and mistakes that were taken care of during the budget process. The item will also include the State's budget forms that are difficult to decipher and not intuitive, but they make sure that everything is balanced; therefore, seen are balanced numbers and they must be published.

Council Member Umphrey suggested that rather than Council Members having the one on one meetings, that they did them together during work sessions so that she could see what everyone else is asking. She added that she feels that she is not learning as much as she should. Mayor Mueller stated that having a meeting to go page by page with seven people asking questions on each page, totaling 400 plus pages, in the budget book is impractical in an open session. In the past, he has suggested to new Council Members, who will have more questions than those that have been through this a few times, to have the one on one meetings with former council members in one session to not violate the Open Meeting Law.

Council Member Umphrey stated that she understands that, and she did learn a lot sitting in with the Mayor on the one on one meetings with staff. She added that she thinks since Council Members have a lot of the same questions and there are three work sessions scheduled, maybe it would be more effective to meet all at once. Mayor Mueller noted that other people's schedules must be considered, and it must be convenient for everyone as well.

Council Member Umphrey noted that everyone planned to attend the three work sessions anyway, just in case. Mayor Mueller stated that everyone is present, but the reason that the process is done in rounds is because the budget book is looked at page by page and it would take more than the three work sessions and then there needs to be time allowed for staff to make the corrections to be able to make a public presentation so that people will know what is in the budget. He added that it is past the step that is being suggested.

Council Member Umphrey stated that she is not suggesting it for this year. She added that she is talking about doing this in the future. Mayor Mueller stated that if there is a concrete suggestion to be able to change the process, he would like to have a discussion to include the staff to see if there is a better way to do this because there is always a need to be more efficient so that everyone can understand how the City is being financed.

Council Member Wolfe stated that she feels confident about the proposed budget and noted that all her questions were answered.

Council Member Benning stated that he understands Council Member Umphrey. He noted that he attended the one on one session with Council Member Wolfe last year, which was helpful. He stated that the way the \$2.9 Million was dispersed and used is spot on once people started diving in and seeing how it was used. He added that it is a good use of the funds and he appreciates it.

Council Member Calhoun stated that she has no questions, but she has always talked about having everyone at the same meeting and noted that there may be duplicate questions and it may not necessarily be a longer meeting.

Mayor Pro Tem Gray stated that she does not have any questions.

Mayor Mueller stated that he hopes that next year there is a SEACOM slide that details what happens with the JP Court agreement. This has been a challenge, but this is something that needs to be worked on. Mr. Potucek stated that City staff has been trying to work with County staff on a new court agreement. Progress was being made but due to the Coronavirus that got derailed. Some numbers are finally coming in and good ideas have been developed on how to approach the County in terms of negotiations.

Mayor Mueller stated that he is glad to see a significant amount of cash, not everything that the City needs, to do local streets. This is something that has been needed for a long time. He added that he has received good feedback from people about the streets, which are different than from what it was. The contract crew did a good job on the street surfaces and he is looking at having more streets paved in the coming years.

Mayor Mueller opened the meeting to the public to which there was no response.

Mr. Potucek stated that once the budget book is rebalanced it will be posted on the City's web site. Staff is available to answer questions and once the tentative budget is approved, it does

not mean that the process is over. Council may still make changes to the budget, but the cap set by the Tentative Budget cannot be exceeded. Discussions may continue and any comments received will be shared with Council.

Mayor Mueller announced that the Tentative Budget vote is on June 25, 2020 and encouraged the public to comment before then. He added that the final vote is on July 23, 2020 and the property tax will be voted on August 13, 2020, a decrease.

Council Member Umphrey thanked Mayor Mueller and Mr. Felix. She noted that she had all her questions answered and she appreciates the time spent with her. Lastly, she stated that she was interested in hearing everyone's questions. Mayor Mueller stated that he understands and agrees that everyone benefits from because it is important.

Mayor Mueller asked Council if they wanted to continue discussion on the budget on June 17 and 18, 2020. There was Council consensus to cancel the work sessions for June 17 and 18, 2020.

### 3. Adjourn

Mayor Mueller adjourned the June 16, 2020 work session of the Sierra Vista City Council at 4:00 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frederick W. Mueller, Mayor

Minutes prepared by:

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria G. Marsh, Deputy Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jill Adams, City Clerk